

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/916,501	07/30/2001	Hans-Peter Krimmer	210740US0X	1206
22850 7	590 05/06/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			PATTERSON, CHARLES L JR	
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDRI	TREET A. VA 22314	ART UNIT PAPER I		PAPER NUMBER
	.,		1652	

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/916,501	KRIMMER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Charles L. Patterson, Jr.	1652

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continue Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	d
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In a event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	no
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fe have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee un 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nder th in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or	the
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendm canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ent
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons listed above.	ıe
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .	
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8, 10 and 12-20</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
Other: Charles L. Patterson, Jr. Primary Examiner And Unit 1650	
Art Unit: 1652	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/916,501

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The addition to the specification presumably includes the 18 SEQ ID NOs of 60/157,427 and U.S. Patent 6,524,837. The specification also still includes the 6 SEQ ID NOs from the original application as filed. SEQ ID NO:1 is presumably from the original and is presumably the same as SEQ ID NO:7. SEQ ID NO:2 is a nucleic acid sequence but in the sixth paragraph of the proposed addition to the specification SEQ ID NO:2 is referred to as an amino acid sequence. The examiner has not further examined this phenomena but apparently what was done was to add the 18 sequences from 6,524,537 to the 6 sequences from the original application without changing any SEQ ID NO identifications in the specification. This makes the proposed addition to the specification incorrect and therefore it will not be entered. Looking at the beginning of the proposed addition, it is stated that "[t]he modified hydantoinases..." The original specification does not discuss "modified hydantoinases" and therefore the proposed addition is confusing from the start. Due to these discrepancies the amendment will not be entered and the examiner has not further considered the proposed 16 page addition. If these discrepancies are corrected then the examiner will consider whether to enter the proposed amendment. The proposed addition is apparently nearly word for word from patent 6,524,837 which claims priority to 60/157,427.